8.3.2 Montel's Theorem

Jingzhi Tie

University of Georgia

jtie@uga.edu

8150 Complex Analysis

March 30 - April 28, 2020

3

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Overview





- 3 The Proof of Montel's Theorem
- 4 Hurwitz's theorem

3

()

Necessary condition

What is the necessary condition on an open set Ω that guarantee the existence of a conformal map $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{D}$?

- (1) If $\Omega = \mathbb{C}$, and $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{D}$, then F is entire and bounded. Hence F is constant. Hence $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$.
- (2) \mathbb{D} is connected $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ is connected.
- (3) \mathbb{D} is simply connected $\Longrightarrow \Omega$ is simply connected.

Theorem 1

Suppose Ω is proper and simply connected. If $z_0 \in \Omega$, then there exists an unique conformal map $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{D}$ such that $F(z_0) = 0$ and $F'(z_0) > 0$.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Normal family

Definition 2

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{C} . A family $\mathfrak{F}(\Omega)$ of holomorphic functions on Ω is said to be normal if every sequence in $\mathfrak{F}(\Omega)$ has a subsequence that converges uniformly on every compact subset of Ω (the limit need not be in $\mathfrak{F}(\Omega)$). The proof that a family of functions is normal is, in practice, the consequence of two related properties, *Uniform Boundedness and Equicontinuity*.

・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

Definition 3

The family \mathfrak{F} is said to be **uniformly bounded on compact subsets** of Ω if for each compact set $K \subset \Omega$ there exists B > 0, such that

 $|f(z)| \leq B$ for all $z \in K$ and $f \in \mathfrak{F}$.

The family \mathfrak{F} is **equicontinuous** on a compact set $K \subset \Omega$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $z, w \in K$ and $|z - w| < \delta$, then

$$|f(z) - f(w)| < \epsilon$$
 for all $f \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Examples: 1) { $f_n(x) : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}, |f'_n(x)| \le M$ for some fixed constant M} in **uniform bounded and equicontinuity**. 2) { $f_n(x) = x^n : x \in [0,1]$ } is **uniform bounded** but not **equicontinuous**. note that $\lim_{n\to\infty} |f_n(1) - f_n(x_0)| = 1$ for $0 < x_0 < 1$.

Montel's Theorem

Theorem 4

Suppose $\mathfrak{F}(\Omega)$ is a family of holomorphic functions on Ω that is uniformly bounded on compact subsets of Ω . Then:

(i) $\mathfrak{F}(\Omega)$ is equicontinuous on every compact subset of Ω .

(ii) $\mathfrak{F}(\Omega)$ is a normal family.

A B F A B F

The theorem really consists of two separate parts.

- (1) S is equicontinuous under the assumption that S is a family of holomorphic functions that is uniformly bounded on compact subsets of Ω. The proof follows from an application of the Cauchy integral formula and hence relies on the fact that F consists of holomorphic functions. This conclusion is in sharp contrast with the real situation as illustrated by the family {f_n(x) = sin nx : x ∈ (0, 1)}, |f_n(x)| ≤ 1, uniformly bounded; but not equicontinuous and has no convergent subsequences on any compact subinterval of (0, 1).
- (2) The second part of the theorem is not complex-analytic in nature. Indeed, the fact that *ξ* is a normal family follows from assuming only that F is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on compact subsets of ω. This result is sometimes known as the **Arzela-Ascoli theorem** and its proof consists primarily of a *diagonalization argument*.

- 3

7 / 14

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Exhaustion

A sequence {K_ℓ}[∞]_{ℓ=1} of compact subsets of Ω is called an exhaustion if
(a) K_ℓ is contained in the interior of K_{ℓ+1} for all ℓ = 1, 2, ···.
(b) Any compact set K ⊂ Ω is contained in K_ℓ for some ℓ. In particular Ω = ∪[∞]_{ℓ=1}K_ℓ.

Lemma 5

Any open set Ω in the complex plane has an exhaustion.

Proof.

If Ω is bounded, we let $K_{\ell} = \{z \in \Omega : \operatorname{dist}(z, \partial \Omega) > \frac{1}{\ell}\}.$ If Ω is not bounded, we let $K_{\ell} = \{z \in \Omega : \operatorname{dist}(z, \partial \Omega) > \frac{1}{\ell} \text{ and } |z| < \ell\}.$

The Proof of Montel's Theorem

Let K be a compact subset of Ω and choose r > 0 so small that $D_{3r}(z)$ is contained in Ω for all $z \in K$. It suffices to choose r so that 3r is less than the distance from K to the boundary of Ω . Let $z, w \in K$ with |z - w| < r, and let γ denote the boundary circle of the disc $D_{2r}(w)$. Then, by Cauchys integral formula, we have

$$f(z) - f(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\zeta) \left[\frac{1}{\zeta - z} - \frac{1}{\zeta - w} \right] d\zeta$$

Observe that

$$\left|\frac{1}{\zeta-z}-\frac{1}{\zeta-w}\right|=\frac{|z-w|}{|\zeta-z||\zeta-w|}\leq\frac{|z-w|}{r^2}.$$

since $\zeta \in \gamma$ and |z - w| < r.

Therefore

$$|f(z)-f(w)|\leq \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{2\pi r}{r^2}B|z-w|,$$

where *B* denotes the uniform bound for the family \mathfrak{F} in the compact set consisting of all points in Ω at a distance $\leq 2r$ from *K*. Therefore $|f(z) - f(w)| \leq C|z - w|$, and this estimate is true for all $z, w \in K$ with $|z - w| \leq r$ and $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, thus this family is equicontinuous.

To prove the second part of the theorem, we argue as follows. Let $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in \mathfrak{F} and K a compact subset of Ω . Choose a sequence of points $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ that is dense in Ω . Since $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly bounded, there exists a subsequence $\{f_{n,1}\} = \{f_{1,1}, f_{2,1}, f_{3,1}, \cdots\}$ of $\{f_n\}$ such that $\{f_{n,1}(w_1)\}$ converges.

From $\{f_{n,1}\}$ we can extract a subsequence $\{f_{n,2}\} = \{f_{1,2}, f_{2,2}, f_{3,2}, \cdots\}$ so that $\{f_{n,2}(w_2)\}$ converges. We may continue this process, and extract a subsequence $\{f_{n,j}\}$ of $\{f_{n,j1}\}$ such that $\{f_{n,j}(w_j)\}$ converges.

Finally, let $g_n = f_{n,n}$ and consider the diagonal subsequence $\{g_n\}$. By construction, $\{g_n(wj)\}$ converges for each j, and we claim that equicontinuity implies that g_n converges uniformly on K. Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose δ as in the definition of equicontinuity, and note that for some J, the set K is contained in the union of the discs $D_{\delta}(w_1), \dots, D_{\delta}(w_J)$. Pick N so large that if n, m > N, then

$$|g_m(w_j) - g_n(w_j)| < \epsilon$$
 for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, J$.

So if $z \in K$, then $z \in D_{\delta}(w_j)$ for some $1 \leq j \leq J$. Therefore,

$$egin{aligned} &|g_n(z) - g_m(z)| \ &\leq |g_n(z) - g_n(w_j)| + |g_n(w_j) - g_m(w_j)| + |g_m(w_j) - g_m(z)| \ &< 3\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

whenever n, m > N. Hence $\{g_n\}$ converges uniformly on K.

Finally, we need one more *diagonalization* argument to obtain a subsequence that converges uniformly on every compact subset of Ω . Let $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots \subset K_\ell \subset \cdots$ be an exhaustion of ω , and suppose $\{g_{n,1}\}$ is a subsequence of the original sequence $\{f_n\}$ that converges uniformly on K_1 . Extract from $\{g_{n,1}\}$ a subsequence $\{g_{n,2}\}$ that converges uniformly on K_2 , and so on. Then, $\{g_{n,n}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ that converges uniformly on every K_ℓ and since the K_ℓ exhaust Ω , the sequence $\{g_{n,n}\}$ converges uniformly on any compact subset of Ω , as was to be shown.

Hurwitz's theorem

Theorem 6

Suppose g_n are holomorphic and $g_n(z) \neq 0$ for all z in a region Ω . If g_n converges uniformly to g on compact subsets of Ω , then either g is identically zero in Ω or g is non-zero in Ω .

Proof.

The limit function g is holomorphic on Ω by Weierstrasss Theorem. In particular, if g is not identically zero, then the zeros of g are isolated. If $\gamma \sim 0$ is a simple curve on which $g \neq 0$, then by Weierstrasss Theorem, g'_n converges to g' and hence $\frac{g'_n}{g_n}$ converges to $\frac{g'}{g}$ uniformly on γ . By the Argument Principle, for n sufficiently large, the number of zeros of g enclosed by γ is the same as the number of zeros of g_n enclosed by γ , and since g_n is never zero, the theorem follows.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Hurwitz's Corollary

Corollary 7

Suppose g_n are holomorphic and one-to-one for all z in a region Ω . If g_n converges uniformly to g on compact subsets of Ω , then either g is a constant in Ω or g is one-to-one in Ω .

Proof.

Fix a $w \in \Omega$ and apply the Hurwitz's theorem to g - g(w) on $\Omega \setminus \{w\}$.